

# Oxaide — Private desk

REF SAMPLE-dispute-brief • 2026-09-21

Subject: Sample dispute-brief

**SAMPLE • FICTIONAL FIGURES • REAL FORMAT — not a live fact, judge the structure**

Isolated computer per job • Uploads wiped 24h • Packs 90d • No source? Red flag. Wrong key claim? Full refund (hi@oxaide.com, 14d). Registry data: data.gov.sg (Singapore Open Data Licence). Research, not advice.

## Request

Sample brief — fictional figures, real format

## Summary

SAMPLE • FICTIONAL FIGURES • REAL FORMAT

# Dispute opponent brief — Sample Opponent Pte Ltd (illustrative)

Opponent screen + counsel questions • 21 Sep 2026 • SAMPLE — every figure here is fictional

### BOTTOM LINE UP FRONT

**Likely fights, may not pay.** Sample Opponent Pte Ltd (SAMPLE-UEN-2200C (sample)) is live with one open charge of S\$85,000 (sample, profile p.5) and two dated adverse mentions. Pursue, but brief counsel with the six questions below and test settlement before filing — recovery risk is real.

| Signal            | Read (sample)                                                                                   | Confidence    |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Standing          | Live Company; 3 officers (sample) — profile p.2                                                 | high (sample) |
| Charges           | 1 open charge, S\$85,000 bank facility (sample) — profile p.5, receipt ACRA-BP-SAMPLE-2026-0002 | high (sample) |
| Adverse sweep 24m | 2 mentions: 2024 supplier row, 2025 late filing (sample)                                        | med (sample)  |
| eLitigation sweep | Nil judgments found (sample) — free sweep                                                       | med (sample)  |
| Fight signals     | Prior counsel engagement noted 2024 (sample)                                                    | med (sample)  |
| Merits            | Referred out — counsel decides                                                                  | n/a           |

## Questions for counsel

1. Does the milestone clause survive the variation emails?
2. The S\$85k charge ranks ahead on paper — confirm priority vs our claim?
3. Pre-action protocol steps and cost band?
4. Settlement mandate given
5. recovery risk?
6. Limitation date?
6. What further evidence closes the gaps?

## Limits

Legal merits are referred out, always. Charge quantum is closed by the bought profile (receipt above); asset depth beyond the charge needs discovery — flagged, never guessed.

---

SAMPLE — figures fictional/redacted for format demo. Real packs show source\_hash + page on every line.  
Research, not advice. Oxaide UEN 201806463W • [brief@oxaide.com](mailto:brief@oxaide.com)